

**No. ID/FD/43-83/20704.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Satbir Singh and the management of M/s Super Alloys (India) Pvt. Ltd, 13th Milestone, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i), section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted, —vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Satbir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

[The 4th May, 1983]

**No. ID/RTK/52-83/20893.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dina Nath and the management of M/s. A. K. Industries M. I. E., Bahadurgarh (Rohtak) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dina Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/SPT/6-83/20900.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Siri Mool Chand and the management of M/s Electric Construction and Equipment Co. Ltd. (Transformer Division), Delhi Road, Sonapat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Mool Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/RTK/51-81/20907.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ramdev Singh and the management of M/s Jay Dinesh Rolling Mills, M.I.E., Bahadurgarh (Rohtak) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ramdev Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

**No. ID/FD/47/83/20914.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rama Kant and the management of M/s. Neo Castings, plot No. 363/24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rama Kant, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/37-83/20921.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jodha and the management of M/s. Kapur Sons Plot No. 1/41-A DLF, Industrial Area Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jodha was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/36/83/20928.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Harish Chand and the management of M/s Kapur Sons Plot No. 1/41-A DLF, Industrial Area, Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Harish Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/84 83/20935.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shambu Nath and the management of M/s Oniel Industries Pvt. Ltd. 58A-N.I.T., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter(s) specified below being either matter(s) in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shambhu Nath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/38/83/20942.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shambhu Nath Tiwari and the management of M/s. Surmir Textiles, Pvt. Ltd. 78 D.L.F. Industrial Area Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Shambu Nath Tiwari was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?